

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A/ ERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/755,525	01/05/2001	Daniel Melchione	NETAP005	5062	
28875 75	590 11/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Zilka-Kotab, PC			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q		
P.O. BOX 7211 SAN JOSE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J			2145		
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/755,525	MELCHIONE ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Azizul Choudhury	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (1) and (1) CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension fee un the final Office action; or (2) as set fort	ee nder th in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	, ,,	эт ито арроан.					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below)					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		Section Labeletty,					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
issues for appeal; and/or	m botton form for appear by mas	onany roddonig or omipmynig					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	etion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place th	те				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,6-9,11-14,18-25 and 29-33.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-5, 10, 15	5-17, 26-28, and 34-38.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:	WillC	Lamina 2143					
	William	C. Vaugund -					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments simply comprise of combining dependant claims to independent claims. No new subject matter is presented nor are any new limitations placed within the claims. The final rejection therefore stands and the proposed amendments will be entered.

AC